

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 03599 01 OF 02 071231Z

46

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00 SAJ-01

EB-07 COME-00 OMB-01 SS-15 NSC-05 /070 W

----- 074633

R 071100Z JUL 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2582

SECDEF WASHDC

DA WASHDC

INFO CDR 32D AIR DEF CMD KAISERSLAUTERN

CDR WHITE SANDS MIL RANGE NMEX

CDR USAADC FT BLISS TX

CDR USAMC ALEXANDIRA VA

CDR USAMICOM REDSTONE ARS AL

CHMAAG ATHENS

CHMAAG BONN

CHMAAG BRUSSELS

CHMAAG COPENHAGEN

CHMAAG ROME

CHMAAG PARIS

CHMAAG THE HAGUE

CHUSNHLO PAIRS

:CINCUSAREUR HEIDELBERG

USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN

USNMR SHAPE

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 3599

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MARR, NATO

SUBJECT: EPORT OF NATO-HAWK BOARD OF DIRECTORS (BOD) MEETING,  
24-27 JUNE 1975

SECDEF FOR OASD(I&L) MR D. CUFFEE; ODDR&E; OASD (ISA); AND DSAA.

DA FOR OASA(I&L); DCSLOG FOR MSG-SBI; AND ACSI. USAMC FOR AMCGC.

USAMICOM FOR AMCPM-HA. USCINCEUR FOR MAD AND J-4. USAREUR FOR  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 03599 01 OF 02 071231Z

AEG-MR-MM AND USAMICOM FLD OFC (AMCPM-HAI-EUR-MAJ LYNE).

REFS: A. HMO/2.2.01/1405/75(FINAL; UPDATED 17 JUN 75)

B. USNATO 1511

C. USNATO 3054  
D. DA MSG DAMO-DOA 132349Z JUN 75  
E. AREOSPACE DAILY, DEC 13, 1974, P. 237  
F. DA MSG DA MI-DOA 242050Z JUN 75

BEGIN SUMMARY: NATO-HAWK BOD MET IN PARIS 24-27 JUNE 1975. MATTERS OF INTEREST TO THE U.S. INCLUDE SECURITY OF OVERSEAS FIRING RANGES FOR IMPROVED HAWK MISSILES (WITH AN ALERT TO DA REGARDING A POTENTIALLY TROUBLESOME LINKAGE OF FIRING SECURITY FOR HAWK WITH TESTING OF ROLAND II); PROGRESS REPORT ON THE NATO-HAWK IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM; ADDITIONAL GREEK EQUIPMENT ORDER; AND ESTABLISHMENT OF A SOFTWARE WORKING GROUP. ACTION REQUESTED: WASHINGTON REVIEW OF ALL FACTORS IN THE FIRING RANGE SECURITY PROBLEM; DA SHOULD EXPECT DISCUSSION OF NATO-HAWK/ROLAND II WITH FRENCH GEN. CAUCHIE ON 14 JULY 1975. END SUMMARY.

1. NATO-HAWK BOARD OF DIRECTORS (BOD) MET IN PARIS 24-27 JUNE 1975. U.S. MEMBER OF BOD (COL LYNCH) REPORTS MEETING WITH REFERENCE TO AGENDA ITEMS (RE FA) WHICH ARE OF PARTICULAR INTEREST OR SIGNIFICANCE TO THE U.S.MC

A. ITEM 2.2 PROGRESS REPORT ON IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM. THE PROGRAM APPEARS TO BE ON A SATISFACTORY TRACK. THE USG DELAY OF ISSUANCE OF A KEY EXPORT LICENSE TO GENERAL ELECTRIC DURING THE PERIOD NOV 74- FEB 75 WAS ONE OF SEVERAL CAUSES OF PRODUCTION DELAYS AT CGE FIAR IN ITALY. RAYTHEON DID A GOOD JOB OF WORKING AROUND THE DELAYS WITH THE AFFECTED FIRMS. PESSIMISTIC ESTIMATE OF POSSIBLE FINANCIAL CLAIMS BY OVERHAUL/ CONVERSIONS (O/C) FIRMS (WORKING DOWNSTREAM FROM THE CGE FIAR EQUIPMENT PRODUCTION/ASSEMBLY DELAY) IS ABOUT DOLLARS 350,000. ANY SLIPPAGE IN THE START OF THE O/C PROGRAM WILL RESULT IN COST INCREASES TO THE NATO-HAWK PRODUCTION AND LOGISTICS ORGANIZATION (NHPLO) DUE TO ANTICIPATED ANNUAL COST ESCALATION OF FIFTEEN PER CENT. BEST ESTIMATE IS SLIPPAGE OF THREE MONTHS AND ADDITIONAL COST OF DOLLARS 1.4 MILLION. THE GEN MGR (MG COERDT) MADE A PARTICULAR POINT OF EXPRESSING APPRECIATION FOR ASSISTANCE OF THE U.S. EP AND THE PROMPT (AND FAVORABLE) WASHINGTON REACTION TO THE EXPORT CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 03599 01 OF 02 071231Z

LICENSE DELAY PROBLEM. THE BOD CONCLUDED DISCUSSION WITH THE AUTHORIZATION FOR THE GEN MGR TO SIGN THE NHPLO/US ARMY MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MOU) CONCERNING MUTUAL EMERGENCY SUPPORT OF HAWK (BOTH NATO AND US PROGRAMS).

B. ITEM 2.7. SELECTION OF A FIRING RANGE. THIS ITEM IS DISCUSSED IN DETAIL BELOW.

C. ITEM 2.8 SELECTION OF A THEATER READINESS MONITORING FACILITY (TRMF). THE FRG AND FRANCE ARE IN CONTENTION FOR THE LOCATION OF THE NORTHERN TRMF. FIRMS ARE TELEFUNKEN, ULM, FRG; AND SODETEG, SALBRIS, FRANCE. AT ISSUE WAS CONFUSING REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FROM THE NATO-HAWK MANAGEMENT OFFICE (NHMO), DISPARATE

RESPONSES FROM THE FIRMS, REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL QUOTATIONS, AND AN END RESULT THAT FAVORED TELFUNKEN OVER SODETEG. THE FRENCH PROTESTED VIGOROUSLY. NET RESULT WAS NO DECISION AND A DEFERRAL OF THE MATTER TO THE OCT 75. MEETING. THE U.S. HAS SOME INTEREST IN THE MATTER; LOCATION OF THE NATO-HAWK TRMF IN THE FRG WOULD PROVIDE SOME POSSIBILITY OF AN OVERLOAD EXCHANGE ARRANGEMENT WITH THE TRMF OPERATED BY USAREUR IN THE FRG.

D. ITEM 2.13. CUSTOMS DUTIES AND TAXES. AS REPORTED FROM PREVIOUS BOD MEETINGS (LATEST REPORTED REF B), THE BOD CONTINUES TO WRESTLE WITH THE PROBLEM OF DUTY EXEMPTION FOR IMPORTATION OF NATO-HAWK EQUIPMENT. NEITHER THE NETHERLANDS NOR THE FRG COULD REPORT SUCCESS IN DISCUSSIONS OF THE PROBLEM AT CAPITALS. GREECE REITERATED ITS POLICY OF NO DUTIES ON DEFENSE ITEMS AND ASKED THE BOD TO ESTABLISH A SPECIAL MECHANISM TO HANDLE THE GREEK PROBLEM. THE BOD AGREED TO STUDY THE PROBLEM WITH A SPECIAL MEETING OF EXPERTS.

E. ITEM 2.14 A-C, EARLY MISSILE DELIVERY. THE NHMO, BACKED UP WITH DATA FROM RAYTHOEN, PROPOSED AN ACCELERATED MISSILE DELIVERY SCHEDULE TO REDUCE THE DENSITY OF MISSILES IN STORAGE AT ANY ONE TIME. INTENT WAS TO REDUCE RISK OF CATASTROPHIC LOSS (DUE TO TERRORIST ACTIVITIES, FOR EXAMPLE) FROM ABOUT 25 PERCENT TO 5 PERCENT. THERE WERE SOME PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH COST INCREASE AND CONTAINER AVAILABILITY. THE BOD DID NOT REACH A DECISION AND ASKED FOR MORE DETAILS FOR CONSIDERATION AT A FUTURE MEETING. ISSUE AT INTEREST HERE IS THE INCREASING CONCERN OF RAYTHEON ABOUT CATASTROPHIC LOSS DUE TO TERRORISM OR UNANTICIPATED POLITICAL CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 NATO 03599 01 OF 02 071231Z

EVENTUALITIES (PRIMARILY IN ITALY). APPARENTLY THERE IS SOME QUESTION OF DEGREE OF PROTECTION IF ANY, OF THE CONTRACTOR'S ASSETS DUE TO FORCE MAJEURE UNDER THE FRENCH LAW (UNDER WHICH PROVISIONS THE CONTRACT IS OPERATIVE.

F. ITEM 2.19. ADDITIONAL IMPROVED HAWK EQUIPMENT FOR GREECE. RAYTHEON, IN REACHING AGREEMENT IN PRINCIPLE WITH THE NMHO AND GREECE ON THE GREEK REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT, ATTEMPTED TO ESTABLISH LINKAGE WITH OTHER MATTERS. BASICALLY RAYTHOEN, IN EXCHANGE FOR ACCEPTING THE GREEK ORDER WITHOUT INCLUSION OF A TERRORISM EXONERATION CLAUSE, WANTED THE NHPLO TO AGREE IN PRINCIPLE TO INCLUSION OF SUCH A CLAUSE INTO THE NETIRE PROGRAM CONTRACT AT A LATER DATE AND TO AGREE TO THE PROPOSED PLAN FOR EARLY MISSILE DELIVERY. THE BOD AFTER PROTESTS FROM VARIOUS MEMBERS AGREED TO ENDORSE THE GREEK ORDER WITHOUT ANY SPECIAL CONDITIONS.

G. ITEM 2.20. MISCELLANEOUS-SOFTWARE WORKING GROUP. THE NHPLO PLANS TO ESTABLISH AN INTERNAL SOFTWARE WORKING GROUP AND WILL NOTIFY THE NATO TRI-SERVICE GROUP ON AIR DEFENSE OF ITS PLANS IN DUE COURSE. THE U.S. WILL PARTICIPATE WITH FULL VOTING RIGHTS. ATTENDANCE OF NATIONAL EXPERTS (ON CALL) WILL FACILITATE DRAFTING

APPROPRIATE TERMS OF REFERENCE.

2. ON FIRING RANGE SECURITY THE BOD CHALLENGED THE U.S. ON ITS RESPONSE TO THE BOD. THE BOARD DISAGREED WITH THE U.S.-IMPOSED SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR FIRING IMPORVED HAWK MISSILES ON RANGES LOCATED IN NATO EUROPEAN COUNTRIS. WE REPORTED EARLIER DISCUSSION OF THE PROBLEM BY THE BOD AT TIS MEETING OF 10-14 MARCH 75 (REF B) AND FOLLOWED UP WITH INFORMATION RELAYED BY THE GEN MGR ON 27 MAY 75 TO THE EFFECT THAT FRANCE WOULD HIT HARD ON THIS ISSUE AT THE JUNE MEETING (REF C). DA RESPONDED TO BOTH USNATO AND TO SEVERAY MESSAGES FROM THE U.S. NATO-HAWK LIAISON OFFICER(NHLO) ON 13 JUNE 8(REF D).

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 NATO 03599 02 OF 02 071236Z

46

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00 SAJ-01

SS-15 NSC-05 EB-07 COME-00 OMB-01 /070 W

----- 074681

R 071100Z JUL 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2583

SECDEF WASHDC

DA WASHDC

INFO CDR 32D AIR DEF CMD KAISERSLAUTERN

CDR WHITE SANDS MIL RANGE NMEX

CDR USAADC FT BLISS TX

CDR USAMC ALEXANDIRA VA

CDR USAMICOM REDSTONE ARS AL

CHMAAG ATHENS

CHMAAG BONN

CHMAAG BRUSSELS

CHMAAG COPENHAGEN

CHMAAG ROME

CHMAAG PARIS

CHMAAG THE HAGUE

CHUSNHLO PAIRS

:CINCUSAREUR HEIDELBERG

USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN

USNMR SHAPE

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 3599

THIS INFORMATION WAS PASSED TO THE NHMO, WHO CIRCULATED IT TO THE BOD ON 23 JUNE. THE RESTRICTIONS CITED IN DA FORMED THE BASIS FOR DISCUSSIONS SUMMARIZED BELOW. FRANCE CALLED IN TWO INGENIEUR

GENERALS (BAGARIA AND CAUCHIE) TO CHALLENGE THE U.S. POSITION.  
THE MADE THE FOLLOWING POINTS:

A. ACCORDING TO THE USG POSITION (CITED IN REF D) NO NATO  
EUROPEAN FIRING RANGE, INCLUDING NAMFI, SALTO DI QUIRRA AND THE  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 03599 02 OF 02 071236Z

FRENCH MEDITERRANEAN RANGE, WOULD QUALIFY FOR FIRING OF IMPORVED  
HAWK.GEN BAGARIA STATED THATTHIS U.S. ATTITUDE QUOTE FLABER-  
BASTED UNQUOTE HIM.

B. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES COULD FRANCE ACCEPT IMPOSITION BY  
THE U.S. (OR BODIES OTHER THAN ITS NATIONAL SERVICES) OF PRACTICES  
OR TRAINING CONDITIONS FOR FRENCH NATIONAL FORCES IN CONDUCTING  
ANNUAL SERVICE PRACTICE (ASP) FIRRINGS.

C. U.S. SECURITY LIMIMTATIONS ON ASP WOULDREDUCE ASP TO SIMULATION  
EXERCISES ONLY. NO COUNTRY, INCLUDING U.S. FOCES OVERSEAS, WOULD  
BENEFIT FROM SUCH RESTRICTIONS.

D. IN A LETTER TO SECDEF 9 DEC 75(RE-PORTED IN REF E), SENATOR  
BAYH CRITICISED THE SAM-D PROGRAM AND  
NOTED THE FOLLOWING: QUOTE ECM TESTING IS LIMITED BECUASE MONITORING  
OF JAMMING SIGNAS BY OVERHEAD SATELLITES AND A FOREIGN CONSULATE IN  
NEARBY MEXICO COULD REVEAL THE VULNERABILITY OF THE SAM-D. THIS LAST  
POINT SEEMS SOMEWHAT INEXPLICABLE TO ME (SAID BAYH) SINCE I HAVE  
BEEN TOLD THAT THE SOVIETS HAVE MANY OTHER MEANS OF RECORDING  
THE CHARACTERISTICS OF A POWERFUL RADAR, UNQUOTE. THE FRENCH  
CONCLUDED FROM THIS THAT WSMR WAS NOT A SECURE FIRING RANGE  
AND CERTAINLY NO BETTER THAN THOSE IN EUROPE.

E. CONTINUING IN OPEN SESSION, GEN SAUCHIE FORMALLY REQUESTED  
THE U.S. MEMBER TO ALERT DA IN WASHINGTON THAT HE INTENDED  
TO DISUCSS IMPROVED HAWK AND ROLAND II AS LINKED PROGRAMME IN A  
MEETING SCHEDULED WITH DA FOR 14 JULY. THE FRENCH POSITION IS  
THAT, IF THE U.S., AS THE HAWK DEVELOPING NATION, IS TO REMAIN  
THE SOLE SOURCE OF DECISIONS ON ACCEPTABLE FIRING TEST LOCATIONS,  
THEN FRANCE WOULD TAKE THE SAME POSITION WITH RESPECT TO ROLAND  
II, AND WOULD NOT AGREE TO U.S. FIRSING TESTS OF ROLAND II EXCEPT  
AT FRENCH APPROVED RANGES. GEN CAUCHIE STATED ALSO, HOWEVER,  
THAT HE WOULD BE PREPARED TO PROPOSE A MORE LIBERAL APPROACH,  
WHICH WOULD PERMIT NATIONAL TEST FIRING OF IMPORVED HAWK MISSILES  
WHERE NATIONS DESIRED AND, OF COURSE, THEN FRANCE WOULD GRANT THE  
SAME COURTESY TO THE U.S. ON ROLAND II.

F. IN CORRIDOR DISCUSSION U.S. REP LEARNED FROM GEN CAUCHIE  
THAT IN HIS FORTHCOMING VISIT TO THE U.S. HE INTENDS TO DISCUSS  
THIS MATTER FIRST EITHER WITH THE DDR&E (DR. CURRIE) OR HIS ASST.  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 03599 02 OF 02 071236Z

DIRECTOR (MR BASIL) AT THE FOUR-POWER R&D COOPERATION MEETING IN LAS VEGAS ON 8 JULY. AT THAT TIME HE WILL EMPHASIZE THE VERY SERIOUS LIGHT IN WHICH FRANCE VIEWS THE FIRING RANGE ISSUE AND WILL PERSONALLY ASK FOR TOP-LEVEL ATTENTION TO THE MATTER. HE INDICATED THAT HE WAS NOT CONVINCED THAT THE DA POSITION REPRESENTED U.S. NATIONAL VIEWS.

G. GEN BAGARIA REFERRED TO USN FIRING TESTS OFF CRETE OF SAM SYSTEMS AGAINST TARGETS SUITABLE FOR USN AIR DEFENSE PURPOSES (BUT WHICH WERE NOT QUALIFIED FOR U.S. ARMY USE) HE POINTED OUT THAT THE USN APPARENTLY CONSIDERED THE AREA SUFFICIENTLY SECURE FOR MISSILE FIRING BUT OBVIOUSLY THE U.S. ARMY DID NOT VIEW THE SITUATION FROM THE SAME PERSPECTIVE. HE POSED TWO QUESTIONS: WHY DOES THE USN FIRE ANTI-AIRCRAFT MISSILES IN A SEA RANGE WHICH APPARENTLY DOES NOT MEET U.S. ARMY REQUIREMENTS? SECONDARILY, WHY DOES THE U.S. ARMY NOT CERTIFY THE SAME TARGETS THAT THE NAVY USES?

3. OTHER NATIONS WEIGHED IN WITH COMMENTS ON THE FIRING SECURITY PROBLEMS ALONG MUCH THE SAME LINES AS THE FRENCH:

A. ITALY (COL. MANGANONI) FELT THAT RESOLUTION OF THE FIRING RANGE PROBLEM WAS A POLITICAL MATTER WHICH MUST BE RESOLVED AT THE HIGHEST NATIONAL LEVEL OF AUTHORITY. HE POSED THE QUESTION TO THE BOARD: QUOTE, TO WHAT EXTENT MUST EUROPE FOLLOW U.S. DIRECTIVES? UNQUOTE. HE CONTINUED WITH A RAMBLING DISSEMINATION WHICH TOUCHED ON SOVEREIGNTY AND OTHER INTERNATIONAL IMPLICATIONS.

B. GREECE (COL. MASTAGAKIS) STATED THAT NAMFI WILL HAVE OPTICAL TRACKING IF THAT IS A REQUIREMENT. ALSO GREECE NOTED THAT BOTH BELGIUM AND THE FRG HAVE ASKED GREECE TO DO WHAT CAN BE DONE TO INSURE NATO HAWK LOT ACCEPTANCE FIRINGS AT NAMFI.

C. THE NETHERLANDS (MG DIETERS) STATED THAT THE NHPLO HAS A BILLION-DOLLAR INVESTMENT IN IMPROVED HAWK AND THAT THE ORGANIZATION MUST TAKE CARE TO NOT COMPROMISE THE SYSTEM.

4. THE BOARD REACHED THE FOLLOWING CONCLUSIONS ON THE SELECTION OF A FIRING RANGE: QUOTE,

A. INSTRUCTS NHMO TO COLLECT FOR THE NEXT MEETING TO BE HELD  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 NATO 03599 02 OF 02 071236Z

ON 16 SEPTEMBER BY THE HELIP TECHNICAL COMMITTEE, THE NECESSARY INFORMATION ON THE TARGETS AND THE OPTICAL EQUIPMENT TO BE POSSIBLY INSTALLED AT NAMFI.

B. ASKS THE U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TO OBTAIN, FROM HIGH-LEVEL U.S. AUTHORITIES, A STATEMENT ON THE SECURITY REGULATIONS FOR THE USE OF MISSILE RANGES FOR THE HELIP.

C. ASKS THAT THE VALIDITY OF THE OFFER ON THE UTILIZATION OF

WSMR BE EXTENDED UNTIL THE END OF OCTOBER.

D. DECIDES TO ADJOURN UNTIL ITS MEETING OF 14 OCTOBER 1975 THE  
DECISION ON THE SELECTION OF A FIRING RANGE FOR THE ACCEP-  
TANCE OF THE 4TH AND SUBSEQUENT LOTS, UNQUOTE.

5. AFTER THE MEETING WAS OVER, WE RECEIVED ANOTHER DA MESSAGE ON  
THE FIRING RANGE SECURITY PROBLEMS (REF F). ALTHOUGH HELPFUL, IT  
WOULD NOT HAVE ALTERED APPRECIABLY THE COURSE OF DISCUSSION AT  
THE BOD. THE FRENCH REQUEST FOR TOP-LEVEL REVIEW UNDOUBTEDLY  
WOULD STILL PREVAIL. ACTION REQUESTED: REVIEW BY STATE, DEFENSE  
AND DA OF THE ISSUES INVOLVED IN THE NATO-HAWK FIRING RANGE SECUR-  
ITY PROBLEM. ALERT OFFICIALS WHO WILL MEET WITH GEN CAUCHIE ON  
14 JULY TO THE FRENCH PROPOSED LINKAGE OF NATO-HAWK TESTING WITH  
THAT PLANNED BY THE ARMY FOR ROLAND II.  
BRUCE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 18 AUG 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 07 JUL 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** CunninFX  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975NATO003599  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t197507102/abbrzkwj.tel  
**Line Count:** 343  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 7  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** A. HMO/2.2.01/1405/75(FIUPDATED UPDATED 17 JUN 75) B. USNATO 1511 C. USNATO 3054 D. DA MSG DAMO-DOA 132349Z JUN 75 E. AREOSPACE DAILY, DEC 13, 1974, P. 237 F. DA MSG DA MI-DOA 242050Z JUN 75  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** CunninFX  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 18 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <18 APR 2003 by SmithRJ>; APPROVED <06 OCT 2003 by CunninFX>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** EPORT OF NATO-HAWK BOARD OF DIRECTORS (BOD) MEETING, 24-27 JUNE 1975  
**TAGS:** MARR, NATO  
**To:** STATE  
SECDEF  
DA INFO CDR AIR DEF CMD KAISERSLAUTERN  
CDR WHITE SANDS MIL RANGE NMEX  
CDR USAADC FT BLISS TX



CDR USAMC ALEXANDIRA VA  
CDR USAMICOM REDSTONE ARS AL  
CHMAAG ATHENS  
CHMAAG BONN  
CHMAAG BRUSSELS  
CHMAAG COPENHAGEN  
CHMAAG ROME  
CHMAAG PARIS  
CHMAAG THE HAGUE  
CHUSNHLO PAIRS  
:CINCUSAREUR HEIDELBERG  
USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN  
USNMR SHAPE

**Type:** TE

**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006